



TEST REPORT UNDER THE PM STANDARD

AXFEE KeKe-F002 65W USB Charger

Five-port power supply, white

76 % **good**
227 of 300 points

TEST RESULT

Test number	2609000900	Product group	Power supplies / Multi-port chargers
Brand	AXFEE	Test period	09/2026
Model	KeKe-F002	Valid from	15.09.2026
Offer price	EUR 10.89	Type of test	Editorial, bought at retail

Type of test. This states only who initiated and paid for the test. It has no bearing on procedure, method or result.

This report is available at any time through the licence search on pruefmagazin.de.

Test framework

Product tested	AXFEE KeKe-F002 65W USB Charger — Five-port power supply, white
Test number	2609000900
Test sample	One unit, factory-new, delivered unopened
Source	Bought at retail, not commissioned by the manufacturer
Test period	09/2026 • five-day test cycle
Offer price	EUR 10.89 during the test period
What was tested	Functional testing in everyday use. Not included: long-term testing, chemical analysis and testing under controlled climate conditions.

Method

The rating follows the PM Standard: ten test parameters grouped in three rating areas. The weighting is fixed before testing begins and is the same for every product.

For each parameter, a category benchmark is set before the sample is rated. Every point deducted is tied to a named finding.

Test items that do not apply are treated as neutral and are removed from the calculation together with their weighting.

Area	Points	Share
A Product Characteristics	100	33.3 %
B Use and Safety	120	40.0 %
C Feedback and Service	80	26.7 %
Total	300	100 %

Rating key

Percent	Rating	Certification
100 – 95 %	● excellent	qualifies
94 – 85 %	● very good	qualifies
84 – 75 %	● good	qualifies
74 – 65 %	● satisfactory	does not qualify
64 – 0 %	● poor	does not qualify

How this report is organised

1	Test Framework and Method	2–3
2	The Product Under Test and Technical Data	4–6
3	Test Environment and Procedure	7–8
4	Results at a Glance	9–11
5	Measurements and Benchmarks	12
6	Calculation and Overall Result	13–14
7	Market Position	15–16
8	Rating Areas A to C	17–20
9	Rating Scale	21

Technical data

Design	Plug-in power supply with fixed Europlug
Ports	2× USB-C, 3× USB-A
USB-C 1	USB PD 3.0, 20 W
USB-C 2	USB PD 3.0, 15 W
USB-A 1	Quick Charge 3.0
USB-A 2 and 3	3.1 A each
Total output power	65 W, summed across all ports
Output, rating plate	5 V/6.6 A · 9 V/3.7 A · 12 V/2.8 A · 15 V/2.2 A
Input	AC 100 to 240 V, 50/60 Hz, 0.5 A
Dimensions	97.6 × 55.2 × 28 mm
Volume	150.9 cm³
Device mass	128 g, weighed
Housing material	Stated inconsistently: ABS or PC+ABS
Colour	White
Status light	Blue internal light, permanently on
Protections	Overcurrent, overvoltage, temperature, short circuit
Marks on housing	CE, FCC, WEEE
Efficiency figures	Not declared
Model identifier	KeKe-F002
Country of origin	China

In the box

- Multi-port charger with five sockets
- Fixed Europlug with two contact pins
- No charging cables included

Manufacturer




Company	Shenzhen Ouxunze Technology Co.
Address	Anxin Lu 23, Nanling, Shenzhen, CN
Trade name	AXFEE, sold by Oouze
Rating plate	Made in China, no address

Advertised features

- 1 65 W of total output power
- 2 Five devices at once with no loss of speed
- 3 PD 3.0 at 20 W and 15 W on the USB-C ports
- 4 Quick Charge 3.0 and 3.1 A on the USB-A ports
- 5 Sturdy ABS housing, drop resistant
- 6 Protection from overheating, overcurrent and short circuit
- 7 Compact and easy to carry

Where it stands in its class

Each bar shows where the test sample sits within the range that is typical for this product group. A full bar means the top of the class, not the best possible.

Price per port		lowest in the comparison group
Price per watt		well below the group
Device mass		128 g of 128 to 144 g
Number of ports		5 of 4 to 6
Protections		four functions stated
Volume per port		30.2 of 24.2 to 40.7 cm³
Housing material		statement inconsistent
Charging protocols		PD present, PPS missing
Total output power		65 W of 65 to 112 W
Power per port		20 W of 30 to 100 W
Semiconductor		silicon instead of GaN
Efficiency figures		not declared

Inconsistencies in the manufacturer's data

The product page describes the same property differently in two places. Evidence on the test sample covers the surface only. The report carries both statements and treats neither as proven.

Property	Feature list	Specifications section
Housing material	sturdy ABS	flame-retardant PC+ABS
Input voltage	240 V	100 to 240 V
Port count	3× USB 2.0, 3× USB 3.0	five ports

Test environment

Location	Living room, wall socket, 21 to 23 °C
Mains supply	230 V, single socket, no extension lead
Test devices	Two phones, tablet, headphones, power bank
Loading	All five ports occupied at the same time
Cables	Original cable of each end device
Period of use	Five days in everyday use

What the rating is based on

Source	Used for	Applies to
Test sample	Visual, tactile and functional checks, measurements	all parameters
Manufacturer specification	technical values, conformity	parameters 1 to 6
Category benchmark	classification of measured values, set before the test	all parameters
Market observation	price level, feature comparison	parameter 10
Customer reviews	synopsis of published reviews	parameter 8

In all other parameters, only what was found on the test sample or derived from technical data is rated.

Procedure

- STEP 1** **Intake and visual check.** Housing, edges and pins examined, rating plate and marks recorded.
- STEP 2** **Fitting into the socket.** Fit in the socket checked, access to the adjacent socket verified.
- STEP 3** **Single port loading.** Each port used on its own, the end device's charging status read off.
- STEP 4** **Full loading.** All five ports occupied at once, charging followed over several hours.
- STEP 5** **Heat and indicator.** Housing temperature checked under load, status light observed at idle.

Test items not applicable

4 test items did not apply to this product and were treated as neutral. They cause no deduction.

Test item	Reason
Battery life	Device has no energy store, mains operation only
Data transfer	Ports are charging outputs only
Weather resistance	Intended for dry indoor use only
Consumables	The product requires none


A feature the design does not provide is not a defect. It is neither rated nor weighted.

The ten test parameters

No.	Test parameter	Score	Points	Share
1	Build Quality		42 / 50	84 %
2	Design		16 / 20	80 %
3	Size & Weight		26 / 30	87 %
4	Functionality		26 / 40	65 %
5	Ease of Use & Suitability		32 / 40	80 %
6	Safety		33 / 40	82 %
7	Advertising Claims		7 / 15	47 %
8	Customer Feedback		11 / 20	55 %
9	Packaging & Labelling		8 / 15	53 %
10	Value for Money		26 / 30	87 %



Parameter profile



Reading the profile

The profile is clearly uneven. More than a third of the scale lies between the strongest and the weakest parameter.

The average across all ten parameters is **72 percent**. Noticeably below this average: **Advertising Claims, Customer Feedback, Packaging & Labelling.**

A dip on one axis affects the overall result only in proportion to the weight of its rating area. A weak parameter in area B counts for more than the same value in area C.

The axis starts at zero; all values are shares of the points available. The digits match the numbering of the test parameters.

Result by rating area

Area	Points	Achieved	Applies to
A Product Characteristics	84 / 100	84.0 %	33.3 %
B Use and Safety	91 / 120	75.8 %	40.0 %
C Feedback and Service	52 / 80	65.0 %	26.7 %

Overall	227 / 300	75.7 %	100 %
---------	-----------	--------	-------






Areas side by side

A Product Characteristics		84.0 %
B Use and Safety		75.8 %
C Feedback and Service		65.0 %

Key figures

STRONGEST RESULT 87 % Size & Weight	WEAKEST RESULT 47 % Advertising Claims	SPREAD 40 percentage points between strongest and weakest	AVERAGE 72 % across all ten parameters
---	--	---	--


The bar marks the measured value; the grey band marks the range of the category benchmark. All axes start at zero. How the values were obtained is described in chapter 3.

Test item	Test sample	Benchmark	Position	Assessment
Total output power	65 W	65 to 112 W		within standard
Power at strongest port	20 W	30 to 100 W		below standard
Rating plate per level	33.6 W at most	no class figure	—	claim deviates
Number of ports	5	4 to 6		above standard
Device mass	128 g	139 to 144 g		above standard
Power per unit of mass	0.51 W/g	0.45 to 0.47 W/g		above standard
Volume per port	30.2 cm³	24.2 to 40.7 cm³		within standard
Housing width	97.6 mm	no class figure	—	largest housing
Input voltage	100 to 240 V	100 to 240 V	—	within standard
No-load power	not declared	limit 0.21 W	—	figure missing
Average active efficiency	not declared	at least 88 percent	—	figure missing
Price per port	EUR 2.18	EUR 5.50 to 9.75		above standard
Price per watt	EUR 0.17	EUR 0.30 to 0.39		above standard
Sample star average	4.21 of 5	no class figure	—	extract only

Notes on the measurements

Power figures. Port values are taken from the housing and the rating plate. No measurement of delivered power under load was available. What was checked is whether the connected device reports fast charging. **Review analysis.** 100 reviews of the tested variant were analysed. The sample is an extract from 1,267 ratings and does not replace a full survey.

Where the points were lost



Of 300 points available, 73 were lost to named findings. Each deduction is tied to a finding in the rating section.

How the result is calculated

The three rating areas enter the overall result with 100, 120 and 80 of 300 points. The weighted percentage determines the rating.

Area	Achieved	Available	Percent
A · Product Characteristics	84	100	84.00 %
B · Use and Safety	91	120	75.83 %
C · Feedback and Service	52	80	65.00 %
Total	227	300	—

$$(84.00 \times 100 + 75.83 \times 120 + 65.00 \times 80) \div 300 = \mathbf{75.67 \text{ percent}}$$

75.67 percent corresponds to the rating “good” on the rating key.

Overall result

76 %
TEST RESULT

good
75.67 percent • 227 of 300 points
Qualifies for certification

No licence for promotional use

This result comes from an editorial test that the manufacturer did not commission. There is no licence to use the Prüfmagazin seal or the test result in advertising, on packaging or in online listings. Licences are granted on application only and carry a licence number that can be verified on [pruefmagazin.de](https://www.pruefmagazin.de).

Test number	2609000900
Test date	15.09.2026
Test procedure	PM Standard, ten test parameters
Type of test	Editorial, bought at retail

Tested and approved on 15.09.2026
Prüfmagazin, Quality Testing
Frankfurt am Main, Germany




This report is available at any time through the licence search on [pruefmagazin.de](https://www.pruefmagazin.de) under test number 2609000900 — free of charge, no registration required.

Competing products

Product	Price	Key specs	Source
AXFEE KeKe-F002	EUR 10.89	5 ports, 20 W per port at most, no GaN	Product page
Qoovi GaN 65W	EUR 22.00	4 ports, 65 W in total, GaN	heise ranking
Ugreen Nexode 100W	EUR 32.00	4 ports, 100 W in total, GaN, PPS	heise ranking
Anker Charger 112W	EUR 34.00	6 ports, 112 W in total, 30 W per USB-C	heise ranking
Baseus GaN2 Pro 100W	EUR 39.00	4 ports, 100 W, efficiency around 93 percent	heise ranking

Price versus features



Reading the chart

The horizontal axis shows the offer price, the vertical axis the feature level. The test sample is highlighted.

The axes cover only the range in which the compared products actually sit, not the whole market. A dot far to the right means a higher price within this group, not expensive in absolute terms.

Feature level combines port count, power per port, protocols and construction.

How the offer is priced

A minus sign means the test sample is cheaper than the reference figure.

Cheapest branded device in the class	EUR 22.00	–EUR 11.11
Average of the four comparison devices	EUR 31.75	–EUR 20.86
Identical OEM model, direct import	EUR 5.60	+EUR 5.29
Single 20 W supply from the same seller	EUR 9.44	+EUR 1.45

PRICE PER PORT • TEST SAMPLE	PRICE PER PORT • MARKET LEVEL
EUR 2.18	EUR 5.50 – 9.75

Prices change. All figures are dated snapshots. The report shows the arithmetic and makes no purchase recommendation.

RATING AREA A

Product Characteristics

84 / 100

84.0 % achieved · weight 33.3 %

1 Build Quality

Do materials, workmanship and finish look well made and suited to the intended use?

**42** / 50

84 %

FINDINGS

- No perceptible panel gaps on the test sample
- Pins sit firmly in the wall socket
- Housing declared as flame-retardant PC+ABS
- Ports labelled on the housing: PD20W, QC3.0, PD15W, 3.1A

LIMITATIONS

- Material stated twice and differently: ABS versus PC+ABS

2 Design

Are shape, colour and overall appearance coherent and appropriate to the function?

**16** / 20

80 %

FINDINGS

- Matt white housing, three colours from EUR 10.89 to 10.99
- Colour-coded sockets, orange for QC3.0, green for 3.1 A
- 65W printed on the side, port layout readable without a manual

LIMITATIONS

- Blue internal light stays on, even with nothing connected

3 Size & Weight

Are dimensions, weight and footprint in sensible proportion to the type of product?

**26** / 30

87 %

FINDINGS

- 128 g device mass against 139 to 144 g in the class
- 0.51 W/g against 0.45 to 0.47 W/g in the class
- 30.2 cm³ of volume per port, class 24.2 to 40.7 cm³
- Direct plug without a lead, adjacent socket stays usable

LIMITATIONS

- 97.6 mm wide, the largest housing in the comparison group

RATING AREA B

Use and Safety

91 / 120

75.8 % achieved · weight 40.0 %

4 Functionality

Does the product do its core job reliably and with adequate performance?**26** / 40

65 %

FINDINGS

- Five devices charged at once without interruption
- USB PD 3.0 on two USB-C ports at 20 W and 15 W
- Quick Charge 3.0 on one USB-A port
- Input 100 to 240 V, 50/60 Hz, four voltage levels

LIMITATIONS

- The test phone reported no fast charging on the PD20W port
- No port exceeds 20 W, class value 30 to 100 W

5 Ease of Use & Suitability

Can the product be set up and used in everyday life without special effort?**32** / 40

80 %

FINDINGS

- Adjacent socket usable despite the 97.6 mm width
- Ports can be told apart without a manual
- No setup required, direct plug without a cable
- Five ports served from a single wall socket

LIMITATIONS

- No PPS, Samsung and Xiaomi charge without the full protocol
- Notebook use is out of scope, the strongest port gives 20 W

6 Safety

Are there recognisable risks in normal use, and are the relevant standards met?**33** / 40

82 %

FINDINGS

- No arcing observed when plugging in the test sample
- Housing stays hand-warm under load
- Four protections stated: overcurrent, overvoltage, temperature
- CE, FCC and WEEE marks printed on the rating plate

LIMITATIONS

- No evidence of testing to EN IEC 62368-1 could be found

RATING AREA C

Feedback and Service

52 / 80

65.0 % achieved · weight 26.7 %

7

Advertising Claims

Do the advertised claims hold up when checked on the sample?**7** / 15

47 %

FINDINGS

- Five ports as advertised, two USB-C and three USB-A
- Simultaneous charging of five devices confirmed
- A product graphic discloses the split into 20 W and 45 W

LIMITATIONS

- The title claims a 65W fast charger, the strongest port gives 20 W
- The rating plate caps each voltage level at 33.6 W

8

Customer Feedback

What overall picture emerges from customer reviews?**11** / 20

55 %

FINDINGS

- 100 reviews analysed, distribution 64/14/9/5/8, average 4.21
- The product page states 4.3 from 1,267 ratings
- 13 mentions praise charging several devices at once
- 5 mentions praise the form factor when travelling

LIMITATIONS

- 11 mentions report total or partial failure, average 1.9 stars
- 7 mentions report arcing, shocks or burnout, average 1.6 stars

9

Packaging & Labelling

Are packaging, transport protection and mandatory information complete and clear?**8** / 15

53 %

FINDINGS

- Rating plate states input, output, model and country of origin
- Manufacturer identified with a full postal address
- Scope of delivery stated unambiguously: one charger

LIMITATIONS

- Energy figures and manufacturer address missing from the plate
- Data table lists 3 USB 2.0 and 3 USB 3.0 ports across five sockets

10

Value for Money

Is the price in reasonable proportion to the market level of its class?**26** / 30
87 %

FINDINGS

- EUR 10.89 against EUR 22.00 to 39.00 in the comparison group
- EUR 0.17 per watt against EUR 0.30 to 0.39 in the group
- EUR 2.18 per port against EUR 5.50 to 9.75 in the group
- Five ports, more than three of the four comparison devices

LIMITATIONS

- The seller allows 14 days for returns instead of 30
- EUR 5.29 premium over the identical unbranded OEM model

Seven levels per test item

Every test item is rated on the same scale. The levels are fixed before testing and identical for all products.

Level	Meaning
5 • fully met	The item is met without reservation. The value is at or above the category benchmark. There is nothing to object to.
4 • largely met	The item is met. Minor deviations are visible but have no effect in normal use.
3 • met with reservations	The item is met, but the value is below the category benchmark. Use remains possible, but takes more effort.
2 • partly met	The item is only partly met. The shortfall is noticeable in daily use and restricts it.
1 • barely met	The item is essentially not met. Normal use is clearly impaired.
0 • not met	The item is not met. The product cannot sensibly be used as intended in this respect.
X • not applicable	The item does not apply to this product. It causes no deduction and its weighting is removed from the calculation.

What this report covers

The report documents a functional test in everyday use. It sets the values found against the category benchmark and ties every point deducted to a named finding.

What it does not cover

It does not replace long-term testing, chemical analysis or testing under controlled climate conditions. It makes no statement about durability beyond the test period and gives no purchase recommendation.

Verification



Test number 2609000900

The full test report is available through the licence search on pruefmagazin.de — free of charge, no registration required.

Legal notice

Protection of the seal and the test result

The Prüfmagazin seal is a registered trademark. Using it in advertising, on packaging or in online listings requires a valid licence with a licence number. Without a licence, any promotional use of the seal or of the test result is prohibited. The validity of every licence can be checked publicly through the licence search on pruefmagazin.de.

Prüfmagazin certifies under its own PM Standard — a private certification based on transparent criteria, not an official approval. For editorial tests the sample is bought at retail; the manufacturer has not commissioned the test. The type of test states only who initiated and paid for it.